

---

# PREDICTIONS OF INDIVIDUAL SEQUENCES

## FINAL EXAMINATION

---

The duration of the exam is 2 hours. A single two-sided sheet of handwritten notes (with any content) is allowed. Answers can be written in French or English.

The notation  $\lesssim$  can be used to say "less or equal than, up to some universal (additive or multiplicative) constant".

### Part 1. Appetizers

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be any online learning algorithm.

1. Explain the difference between the pseudo regret and the regret of  $\mathcal{A}$ .
2. Assume that the losses are in  $[-1, 1]$  and that for all  $\delta > 0$  the regret of  $\mathcal{A}$  is upper-bounded as  $R_T(\mathcal{A}) \leq C \log(1/\delta)$ , for some  $C > 0$  with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ . Prove the upper-bound on the expected regret  $\mathbb{E}[R_T(\mathcal{A})] \leq C$ .
3. (Doubling trick) Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  provides, for any known beforehand fixed horizon  $T$ , a regret bound  $R_T(\mathcal{A}) \leq CT^\alpha$  for some  $C > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$ .
  - (a) Explain how to convert it into an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$  which runs forever without knowing the horizon.
  - (b) Prove the corresponding regret bound.
  - (c) What regret bound do you get if  $R_T(\mathcal{A}) = C \log T$ ?
4. (Linear regret) Assume that the algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  is deterministic.
  - (a) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is asked to provide at each round  $t \geq 1$ , an action  $\theta_t \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ . Prove that there exists a sequence of loss functions  $f_1, \dots, f_T \in [0, 1]^K$  such that

$$R_T(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\theta_t) - \min_{k \in [K]} \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(k) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{K}\right)T.$$

- (b) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is asked to provide at each round  $t \geq 1$ , an action  $\theta_t \in \Delta_K = \{\theta \in [0, 1]^K : \|\theta\|_1 = 1\}$ . Prove that there exists a sequence of linear loss functions  $g_1, \dots, g_T \in [0, 1]^K$  such that

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \theta_t^\top g_t - \sum_{t=1}^T \min_{\theta \in [K]} \theta^\top g_t \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{K}\right)T.$$

5. Show why Online Mirrored Descent with negative entropy  $R(x) = \sum_{i=1}^K x(i) \log x(i)$  as regularization is equivalent to Exponential Gradient (Exponentially Weighted Average (EWA) forecaster with gradient trick).

## Part 2. Successive elimination for stochastic bandits

For  $t = 1, \dots, T$

- First, pull the two arms alternatively until there exists  $k, k' \in \{1, 2\}$  such that

$$UCB_t(k) < LCB_t(k')$$

- Then, abandon arm  $k$  and play arm  $k'$  for the remaining rounds.

(a)  $K = 2$

**Initialization:** all arms in  $[K]$  are active.

For  $t = 1, \dots, T$

- pull all active arms alternatively;
- if there exists  $k$  and  $k' \in [K]$  such that

$$UCB_t(k) < LCB_t(k')$$

deactivate arm  $k$ .

(b)  $K \geq 2$

Algorithm 1: Successive elimination algorithm

*Setting and notations.* We assume there are  $K$  unknown distributions  $\nu(k)$  over  $[0, 1]$  with mean  $\mu(k)$  for  $k \in [K]$ . At each time  $t \geq 1$ , the player chooses some action  $k_t \in [K]$ , the environment draws the reward  $X_t(k_t) \sim \nu(k_t)$  and the player observes  $X_t(k_t)$ . We define  $N_t(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{s=1}^t \mathbf{1}_{\{k_s=k\}}$  the number of times arm  $k$  was played before  $t$  and  $\hat{\mu}_t(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1/N_t(k)) \sum_{s=1}^t X_t(k) \mathbf{1}_{\{k=k_s\}}$  the empirical mean of arm  $k$  at time  $t$ . We call  $k^*$  the optimal arm, i.e.,  $k^* \in \arg \max_{k \in [K]} \mu(k)$ .

6. We consider the 2-armed stochastic bandit framework, i.e.  $K = 2$  with Alg. 1(a).

(a) Prove that for  $r_t(k) = \sqrt{2(\log T)/N_t(k)}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\forall t \in [T], \forall k \in [K], \quad |\hat{\mu}_t(k) - \mu(k)| \leq r_t(k)\right) \leq 1 - \frac{2}{T^2}.$$

(b) We define  $UCB_t(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\mu}_t(k) + r_t(k)$  and  $LCB_t(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\mu}_t(k) - r_t(k)$ . Prove that

$$\bar{R}_T = \mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{k \in [K]} \sum_{t=1}^T \mu(k) - \sum_{t=1}^T X_t(k_t) \right] \lesssim \sqrt{T \log T}.$$

7. We now consider the general case  $K \geq 2$  (Alg. 1(b)). To ease the analysis, in the sequel, we consider the clean (high probability) event such that for all  $k, t$ ,  $\mu(k) \in [LCB_t(k), UCB_t(k)]$ .

(a) Prove that for all suboptimal arms  $k \in [K]$

$$\Delta(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mu(k^*) - \mu(k) \lesssim r_T(k) \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\log T}{N_T(k)}}.$$

In other words, a bad arm cannot be played too many times.

(b) Prove that therefore the pseudo regret satisfies  $\bar{R}_T \lesssim \log T \sum_{k=1}^K \sqrt{N_T(k)}$ .

(c) Conclude that  $\bar{R}_T \lesssim \sqrt{KT \log T}$ .

(d) Using the result of question 7a), show that we also have

$$\bar{R}_T \lesssim \sum_{k: \Delta(k) > 0} \frac{\log T}{\Delta(k)}.$$

## Part 3. Continuous Exponential Weights

*Setting and notation.* Let  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a compact convex decision space and  $\eta > 0$ . A function  $f : \Theta \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is said  $\eta$ -exp-concave if  $\theta \mapsto e^{-\eta f(\theta)}$  is concave over  $\Theta$ . We consider the following setting of online prediction. At each round  $t \geq 1$ , the learner chooses  $\theta_t \in \mathcal{X}$ , then the environment chooses a continuous  $\eta$ -exp-concave loss  $f_t : \Theta \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and reveals it to the learner.

8. Determine the set of  $\eta$  (if any) for which the following functions are  $\eta$ -exp-concave.

- (a) the squared loss  $f(\theta) = \|\theta - \theta^*\|_2^2$  for  $\|\theta^*\|_2 \leq B$ .  
 (b) the  $\ell_1$ -norm  $f(\theta) = \|\theta - \theta^*\|_1$  for  $\|\theta^*\|_1 \leq B$ .
9. We consider the continuous exponentially weighted average forecaster (EWA) that predicts

$$\theta_t = \frac{\int_{\theta \in \Theta} \theta w_t(\theta) d\mu(\theta)}{\int_{\theta \in \Theta} w_t(\theta) d\mu(\theta)}, \quad \text{where} \quad w_t(\theta) = \exp\left(-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} f_s(\theta)\right),$$

and where  $d\mu$  is the uniform measure on  $\Theta$ .

- (a) Show that

$$W_T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\Theta} w_T(\theta) d\mu(\theta) \leq \exp\left(-\eta \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\theta_t)\right).$$

- (b) Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and  $\theta^* \in \arg \min_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\theta)$ . Define  $\Theta_\varepsilon \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(1 - \varepsilon)\theta^* + \varepsilon\theta, \theta \in \Theta\}$ . Show that for all  $t \geq 1$  and all  $\theta \in \Theta_\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\exp(-\eta f_t(\theta)) \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \exp(-\eta f_t(\theta^*)).$$

- (c) Using that  $\mu(\Theta_\varepsilon) \geq \varepsilon^d \mu(\Theta)$  (no proof needed), show that

$$W_T \geq \mu(\Theta) \varepsilon^d (1 - \varepsilon)^T \exp\left(-\eta \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\theta^*)\right).$$

- (d) Conclude that the regret is upper-bounded as

$$R_T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\theta_t) - \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\theta^*) \lesssim \frac{d}{\eta} \log T.$$

- (e) How would you implement this algorithm in practice and what is its complexity?