

# Lecture #4: Continuous and linear bandits

In many applications, the agent can observe a context  $c_t$  first (eg user information in the case of online recommendation)

## Bandits with continuum of arms

Before that, let us consider the problem of continuous bandits

### Setting (continuous bandits)

At each time step  $t=1, \dots, T$ :

- the agent pulls an arm  $a \in A \subset [0, 1]^d$

- the agent observes and receives the reward  $Y_t = \mu(a_t) + \eta_t$  where  $\eta_t$  is  $\begin{matrix} \perp \text{ i.i.d. Gaussian} \\ 0 \text{ mean} \\ \text{independent noise} \end{matrix}$

**Goal:** minimise regret  $R_T = T\mu^* - \sum_{t=1}^T \mu(a_t)$  where  $\mu^* = \sup_{a \in A} \mu(a)$

Without any assumption on  $\mu$  or  $A$ , we cannot do anything.

Otherwise, for  $A = [0, 1]$  and any algorithm, we can choose  $x$  s.t.

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \mathbb{P}_{\mu=0}(a_t = x) = 0$$

Then for  $\mu(a) = \mathbb{1}_{a=x}$ , the same algorithm would behave as if  $\mu=0$  and never pull  $x$ ,

so that its regret is  $R_T = T$ .

Continuity is actually enough to control the regret (ie having  $\mathbb{E}[R_T] = o(T)$ ).

To get precise bounds, we will consider a stronger assumption on  $\mu$ .

$\mu$  is  $\beta$ -Hölder if there exists  $c > 0$  s.t.  $\forall a, a' \in A, |\mu(a) - \mu(a')| \leq c \|a - a'\|^\beta$ .

## (meta) Algo: Binning UCB

Input:  $\epsilon > 0$

Let  $K$  be an  $\epsilon$ -covering of minimal cardinal of  $A$ .

Pull each arm in  $K$  once

Then for any  $t > |K|$ :

pull  $a_t \in \arg \max_{a \in K} \hat{\mu}_K(t-1) + z \sqrt{\frac{z \ln(t)}{N_K(t-1)}}$

run UCB on the set of arms  $K$

(different constant because we have 1-sub Gaussian rewards)

## Theorem

Let  $\beta > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Assume that  $\mu$  is  $\beta$ -Hölder and  $A \subset [0, 1]^d$ .

Then running binning UCB with  $\mu_{\min} \epsilon$  yields the regret:

$$\mathbb{E}[R_T] \leq c \left( T \epsilon^\beta + \sqrt{\frac{T \ln T}{\epsilon^d}} \right) \quad \text{for some universal constant } c > 0.$$

Taking  $\epsilon$  of order  $\left(\frac{\ln T}{T}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\beta+d}}$  yields a regret  $\mathbb{E}[R_T] = O\left(T^{\frac{\beta+d}{2\beta+d}} \ln(T)^{\frac{\beta}{2\beta+d}}\right)$

Proof:

$$R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \mu^* - \mu_{a_t}$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^T (\mu^* - \max_{a \in K} \mu(a)) + \sum_{t=1}^T \max_{a \in K} \mu(a) - \mu_{a_t}$$

$\leq c \epsilon^p$  by  
Hölder assumption

regret of UCB run on  $\mathcal{K}$ .

so for  $K = \text{card}(\mathcal{K})$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[R_T] \leq cT\epsilon^p + \underbrace{c\sqrt{KT \ln(T)}}_{\text{distribution free bound of UCB}}$

By minimisation of cardinal, a classical covering number bound yields  $K \leq \epsilon^{-d}$ , which concludes  $\square$

## Contextual bandits

Motivation

### Setting 1 (contextual bandits)

For each round  $t=1, \dots, T$ :

- agent observes context  $c_t \in \mathcal{C}$  (arbitrarily chosen by nature)
- agent chooses action  $a_t \in [K]$ , depending on  $c_t$  and past observations.
- agent observes and gets reward  $Y_t$   
where  $Y_t = \pi(a_t, c_t) + \eta_t$

with  $\eta_t$   $\perp$  sub-Gaussian  
0 mean  
independent noise

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda \eta_t}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\right)$$

$\pi: [K] \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called the reward function

$\leftarrow$  object to estimate

(pseudo)-regret defined as  $R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ \max_{k \in [K]} \pi(k, c_t) - \pi(a_t, c_t) \right\}$

Without any assumption on  $\pi$ , independent bandit games for each context  $c$ .

- First possibility,  $\pi$  is "regular" (e.g. Lipschitz or Hölder)

In that case, we can again run a binning version of UCB to discretize the **context set** (instead of action set)

## Binning UCB (contextual)

Input:  $\epsilon > 0$

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an  $\epsilon$ -covering of minimal cardinal of  $\mathcal{C}$

For each time step  $t \geq 1$ :

- observe the context  $c_t$
- let  $B \in \mathcal{X}$  a ball containing  $c_t$ :  $c_t \in B$
- pull the arm  $a_t$  following the UCB algorithm on the bin  $B$ , i.e. with

$$N_k^B(t) = \sum_{s=1}^t \mathbb{1}_{a_s=k} \mathbb{1}_{c_s \in B}$$

$$\hat{\mu}_k^B(t) = \frac{1}{N_k^B(t)} \sum_{s=1}^t \mathbb{1}_{a_s=k} \mathbb{1}_{c_s \in B} y_s$$

## Theorem:

Let  $\beta > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Assume that  $x \mapsto \mu(k, x)$  is  $\beta$ -Hölder for any  $k \in [K]$  and  $c \in [0, 1]^d$ .

The regret of (contextual) binning UCB is then bounded as:

$$\mathbb{E}[R_T] \leq c \left( T \epsilon^\beta + \sqrt{\frac{KT \ln T}{\epsilon^d}} \right)$$

Choosing  $\epsilon$  of order  $\left(\frac{K \ln T}{T}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\beta+d}}$ , we get  $\mathbb{E}[R_T] = O\left(T^{\frac{\beta+d}{2\beta+d}} (K \ln T)^{\frac{\beta}{2\beta+d}}\right)$

Proof is not as direct as the continuous bandits case: UCB does not act with iid variables here, but

random variables of the type:  $X_{k,t}(t) = \mu_k + \eta_{k,t}(t)$  with  $\eta_{k,t}(t) \perp$ -sub-Gaussian

$\mathbb{E}[e^{\eta_{k,t}(t)}] \leq c e^{\beta}$

(left version)

## Remarks:

algo with an optimal distribution free VCB bound  
↓

- In all the previous algs, we can replace VCB by MOSS to get rid of the  $\log T$  terms.
- Instance dependent bounds? In the above results, we used the distribution free bound of VCB. Can we get something better, depending on the regularity level of  $\mu$ ?

Yes, with the  $\alpha$ -margin assumption for iid contexts  $c_t$  and all  $\delta \in (0, 1)$

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \min_{k, \Delta(k, c_t) > 0} \Delta(k, c_t) < \delta \right) \leq c \delta^\alpha;$$

$$\text{where } \Delta(k, c) = \max_c \mu(k, c) - \mu(k, c)$$

The larger the  $\alpha$ , the easier the problem.

## Theorem

Let  $\beta > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Assume that  $x \mapsto \mu(k, x)$  is  $\beta$ -Hölder for any  $k \in [K]$ ,  $c \in [0, 1]^d$  and the  $\alpha$ -margin assumption.

Then the regret of (contextual) binning VCB is then bounded as:

$$\mathbb{E}[R_T] \leq c T^{\frac{\beta(1-\alpha)+d}{2\beta+d}} (K \ln T)^{\frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{2\beta+d}}$$

for an optimised  $\varepsilon > 0$

the proof is intricate.

## Linear bandits

Another possible assumption is that  $r$  is linear with respect to a known feature

map  $\Psi: [K] \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and a parameter  $\theta^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  
to estimate

$$r(b, c) = \langle \theta^*, \Psi(b, c) \rangle \quad \forall b, c.$$

This is equivalent to the following setting, with  $A_T = \{ \Psi(b, c) \mid b \in [K] \}$ :

## Setting 2 (linear bandits)

For each round  $t=1, \dots, T$ :

• agent observes decision set  $A_t \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

• agent chooses action  $a_t \in A_t$

• agent observes and gets reward  $Y_t$

where  $Y_t = \langle \theta^*, a_t \rangle + \gamma_t$

with  $\gamma_t$

1 sub-Gaussian  
0 mean  
independent noise

same defn of regret

Particular cases:

•  $A_t = \{e_1, \dots, e_d\} \rightarrow$  classical multi-armed bandits with  $d$  arms and  $\mu_a = \theta_a^*$

•  $A_t \subset \{0, 1\}^d \rightarrow$  combinatorial bandits.

We want to build an adaptation of UCB for linear bandits, called

Lin UCB.

• build confidence regions  $\mathcal{C}_t$  such that  $\theta^* \in \mathcal{C}_t$  with high probability

• build confidence bounds for the arm means  $U_a(t) = \max_{\theta \in \mathcal{C}_t} \langle a, \theta \rangle$

$\theta \in \mathcal{C}_t$

UCB score of arm  $a$ .

• be optimistic: pull  $a_t \in \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}_t} U_a(t)$

main question (

Before the confidence set, what is the estimate of  $\theta^*$ ? (ie "empirical mean")

Regularised least-squares estimator:

$$\hat{\theta}_t = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{s=1}^t (y_s - \langle \theta, a_s \rangle)^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|_2^2$$

$\lambda \geq 0$  is the penalty factor (or regularization parameter)

$\lambda > 0$  ensures uniqueness of the minimiser

we can indeed easily check that:

$$\hat{\theta}_t = V_t^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^t a_s y_s \quad \text{where } V_t = \lambda I_d + \sum_{s=1}^t a_s a_s^T$$

For any symmetric, positive definite matrix  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we denote

$$\|u\|_M^2 := (u^T M u)$$

Theorem (linear bandits concentration)

For any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , if for all  $s$ ,  $\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}_s} \|a\|_2 \leq 1$ , then with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,

$$\|\hat{\theta}_t - \theta^*\|_{V_t} \leq \sqrt{\lambda} \|\theta^*\|_2 + \sqrt{2 \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) + d \ln\left(1 + \frac{t}{\lambda d}\right)}$$

The proof relies on the following concentration lemma

### Lemma

$$\text{Let } S_T = \sum_{s=1}^T Y_s \text{ as}$$

For any  $\lambda > 0, T \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\|S_T\|_{V_T}^2 \geq 2 \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{\det(V_T)}{\lambda^d}\right)) \leq \delta$$

### Proof of the theorem (based on lemma)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Note that } \hat{\theta}_T &= V_T^{-1} \left( S_T + \sum_{s=1}^T a_s a_s^\top \theta^\circ \right) \\ &= V_T^{-1} S_T + V_T^{-1} (V_T - \lambda \text{Id}) \theta^\circ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \|\hat{\theta}_T - \theta^\circ\|_{V_T} &= \|V_T^{-1} S_T - \lambda V_T^{-1} \theta^\circ\|_{V_T} \\ &\leq \|V_T^{-1} S_T\|_{V_T} + \lambda \|V_T^{-1} \theta^\circ\|_{V_T} \\ &= \|S_T\|_{V_T^{-1}} + \lambda \underbrace{\|\theta^\circ\|_{V_T^{-1}}}_{\sqrt{\theta^{\circ T} V_T^{-1} \theta^\circ}} \\ &\leq \|S_T\|_{V_T^{-1}} + \sqrt{\lambda} \|\theta^\circ\|_2 \leq \lambda_{\min}(V_T)^{-1/2} \|\theta^\circ\|_2 \\ &\leq \lambda^{-1/2} \|\theta^\circ\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that  $\frac{\det(V_T)}{\lambda^d} \leq \left(1 + \frac{T}{\lambda}\right)^d$ , i.e.  $\det(V_T) \leq (\lambda + T)^d$ .

Indeed, we have:  $\det(V_T) \leq \left(\frac{\text{tr}(V_T)}{d}\right)^d \leq \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{d} \sum_{s=1}^T \text{tr}(a_s a_s^\top)\right)^d \leq \left(\lambda + \frac{T}{d}\right)^d$

geom vs arithmetic mean comparison

□



(optional)

# Proof of the lemma

For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , define  $M_t(x) = \exp\left(\langle x, S_t \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|x\|_{V_t - \lambda I}^2\right)$

1) We show by induction that  $M_t(x)$  is a supermartingale, so that

$$\mathbb{E}[M_t(x)] \leq M_0(x) = 1$$

$t \rightarrow t+1$

$$M_{t+1}(x) = \exp\left(\langle x, S_{t+1} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} (x^T (V_{t+1} - \lambda I) x)\right)$$

$$V_{t+1} = V_t + a_{t+1} a_{t+1}^T$$

$$= M_t(x) \cdot \exp\left(\langle x, a_{t+1} \rangle \eta_{t+1} - \frac{1}{2} \langle x, a_{t+1} \rangle^2\right)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{t+1}(x) | \mathcal{F}_t] \leq M_t(x)$$

( $\eta_{t+1}$  is 1 sub-Gaussian)

2) let  $\nu = \mathcal{N}(0, \lambda^{-1} I_d)$

$$M_t = \int M_t(x) d\nu(x)$$

is also a supermartingale  
by Tonelli and

$$\bar{M}_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d} \lambda^{-d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left(\langle x, S_t \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|x\|_{V_t - \lambda I}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x\|_{\lambda I}^2\right) dx$$

$$\hookrightarrow = x^T S_t - \frac{1}{2} x^T V_t x$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} (x - V_t^{-1} S_t)^T V_t (x - V_t^{-1} S_t) + \frac{1}{2} S_t^T V_t^{-1} S_t$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \|x - V_t^{-1} S_t\|_{V_t}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|S_t\|_{V_t^{-1}}^2$$

$$\bar{M}_t = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \|S_t\|_{V_t^{-1}}^2\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \|x - V_t^{-1} S_t\|_{V_t}^2\right) dx$$

upto normalizing  
pdf of  $\mathcal{N}(V_t^{-1} S_t, V_t)$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \|S_t\|_{V_t^{-1}}^2\right) \frac{\lambda^{d/2}}{\sqrt{\det(V_t)}}$$

$$\|S_t\|_{V_t^{-1}}^2 = 2 \ln(\bar{M}_t) - \ln\left(\frac{\lambda^d}{\det(V_t)}\right)$$

3)

$$\mathbb{P}(\|S_t\|_{V_t^{-1}}^2 \geq 2 \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{\det(V_t)}{\lambda^d}\right)) = \mathbb{P}\left(\ln(\bar{M}_t) \geq \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left(\bar{M}_t \geq \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \leq \mathbb{E}[\bar{M}_t] \delta \leq \delta. \quad \square$$

Algo Lin VCB

For each  $t \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{Play } a_t \in \arg \max_{a \in A_t} \langle \theta, a_t \rangle$$

$$\theta \in \mathcal{C}_{t-1}$$

suppose we know  $m$  with  $\|\theta\|_2 \leq m$

can be computed efficiently for  
our specific form of  $\mathcal{C}_t$   
and nice  $A_t$ .

with  $\hat{\theta}_t = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{s=1}^t (y_s - \langle \theta, a_s \rangle)^2 + \lambda \|\theta\|_2^2$   $V_t = \lambda I + \sum_{s=1}^t a_s a_s^\top$

and  $\mathcal{E}_t = \left\{ \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \|\hat{\theta}_t - \theta\|_{V_t} \leq \sqrt{\lambda} m + \sqrt{4 \ln(t) + d \ln\left(4 + \frac{t}{\lambda}\right)} \right\}$

## Theorem:

If  $\|\theta^*\|_2 \leq 1$  and for any  $t$ ,  $\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}_t} \|a\|_2 \leq 1$ , then the regret of LinUCB satisfies for any  $\lambda > 0$ :

$$\mathbb{E}[R_T] \leq c_\lambda d \sqrt{T} \ln T$$

where  $c_\lambda$  is a constant that only depends on  $\lambda$ .

## Comments:

- distribution free bound.
- if  $\mathcal{A}_t$  is finite, and the same for every  $t$ , we can get a  $\log(t)$  instance dependent bound:  $\mathbb{E}[R_T] \leq c \sqrt{T \ln(TK)}$
- another possible improvement when  $d \gg 1$  is to assume that  $\theta^*$  is  $m_0$ -sparse. Then, we can get a regret of order  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{d m_0 T})$

Proof:

Let us bound the instantaneous regret first.

$$r_t = \langle \theta^*, A_t^* \cdot a_t \rangle \quad \text{when } A_t^* \in \arg\max_{a \in A_t} \langle \theta^*, a \rangle.$$

Define the  
good event

$$E_t = \left\{ \theta^* \in \mathcal{C}_{t-1} \right\}.$$

Thanks to our concentration theorem,  $P(\neg E_t) \leq \frac{1}{(t-1)^2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[r_t] &\leq 2 \cdot P(\neg E_t) + \mathbb{E}[r_t \mathbb{1}_{E_t}] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{(t-1)^2} + \mathbb{E}[r_t \mathbb{1}_{E_t}]. \end{aligned}$$

if  $E_t$ ,  $\theta^* \in \mathcal{C}_{t-1}$  so:

$$\langle \theta^*, A_t^* \rangle \leq \max_{\theta \in \mathcal{C}_{t-1}} \langle \theta, A_t^* \rangle$$

$$\leq \max_{\theta \in \mathcal{C}_{t-1}} \langle \theta, a_t \rangle$$

by defn of  $a_t$ .

$$= \langle \tilde{\theta}_t, a_t \rangle \text{ for some } \tilde{\theta}_t \in \mathcal{C}_{t-1}.$$

Cauchy Schwarz gives:

$$R_T = \langle \theta^*, A_T^* \cdot a_T \rangle \leq \langle \tilde{\theta}_T - \theta^*, a_T \rangle \leq \|\tilde{\theta}_T - \theta^*\|_{V_T^{-1}} \|a_T\|_{V_T^{-1}}$$

$$\leq \|a_T\|_{V_T^{-1}} \left( \|\tilde{\theta}_T - \hat{\theta}_{T-1}\|_{V_{T-1}} + \|\theta^* - \hat{\theta}_{T-1}\|_{V_{T-1}} \right)$$

$$\leq 2 \|a_T\|_{V_{T-1}^{-1}} \cdot \left( \sqrt{\lambda} + \sqrt{4 \ln(k_T) + \ln\left(1 + \frac{T}{\lambda d}\right)} \right)$$

define  $\alpha_T = \max(\cdot, 1)$

also by assumption,  $R_T \leq 2$ , so

$$R_T \leq 2 \alpha_T \left( 1 \wedge \|a_T\|_{V_{T-1}^{-1}} \right) \quad (\text{if } E_T \text{ holds})$$

$x \wedge y = \min(x, y)$

overall:

$$R_T \leq \sum_{t=2}^T \mathbb{E}[R_t \mathbb{1}_{E_t}] + \sum_{t=1}^T \left( \frac{1}{(t-1)^2} \wedge 1 \right)$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{r=2}^T \alpha_r \left( 1 + \|a_r\|_{V_{r-1}^{-1}} \right) + c$$

$$\leq 2 \sqrt{\sum_{r=1}^T \alpha_r^2} \sqrt{\sum_{r=1}^T \left( 1 + \|a_r\|_{V_{r-1}^{-1}}^2 \right)} + c$$

$$\leq c_1 \sqrt{\sum_{r=1}^T d \ln(T)} \sqrt{\sum_{r=1}^T \left( 1 + \|a_r\|_{V_{r-1}^{-1}}^2 \right)} + c$$

$$\leq c_1 \sqrt{d T \ln(T)} \sqrt{\sum_{r=1}^T \left( 1 + \|a_r\|_{V_{r-1}^{-1}}^2 \right)} + c$$

Bound on  $\sum_{r=1}^T \left( 1 + \|a_r\|_{V_{r-1}^{-1}}^2 \right)$

$$u \wedge 1 \leq 2 \ln(1+u)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^T \left( 1 + \|a_r\|_{V_{r-1}^{-1}}^2 \right) &\leq 2 \sum_{r=1}^T \ln \left( 1 + \|a_r\|_{V_{r-1}^{-1}}^2 \right) \\ &= \ln \left( \det \left( \frac{V_T}{V_0} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Indeed,  $V_r = V_{r-1} + a_r a_r^T = V_{r-1}^{1/2} (\mathbb{I} + V_{r-1}^{-1/2} a_r a_r^T V_{r-1}^{-1/2}) V_{r-1}^{1/2}$

so  $\det(V_r) = \det(V_{r-1}) \cdot \det(\mathbb{I} + \underbrace{V_{r-1}^{-1/2} a_r a_r^T V_{r-1}^{-1/2}}_{yy^T \text{ is a rank one matrix.}})$

If  $yy^T$  has eigenvalues:  $(1 + \|y\|^2, 1, \dots, 1)$

$\det(V_r) = \det(V_{r-1}) \cdot (1 + \|V_{r-1}^{-1/2} a_r\|_2^2)$  eigenvalue  $y$ .

$= \det(V_{r-1}) (1 + \|a_r\|_{V_{r-1}^{-1}}^2)$

so by induction  $\ln(\det(V_r)) = \ln(\det(V_0)) + \sum_{s=1}^r \ln(1 + \|a_s\|_{V_{s-1}^{-1}}^2)$

so  $\sum_{r=1}^T (1 + \|a_r\|_{V_{r-1}^{-1}}^2) \leq 2 \ln \left( \frac{\det(V_T)}{\det(V_0)} \right) \cdot d$

$\leq 2d \ln \left( 1 + \frac{T}{\lambda_d} \right)$

Thanks to previous bound.

$\leq c_\lambda d \ln(T)$

In conclusion, gathering every thing we get.

$R_T \leq c_\lambda d \ln T \sqrt{T} + c.$

□